

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
JACKSON DIVISION**

ERVIN BRADLEY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-742 WS
ROBERTA W. THOMAS v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-743 WS
JANE A. BULLY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-744 WS
TERRY BLACKMON v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-745 WS
LAIRD MOSES v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-746 WS
ROOSEVELT RANSBURY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-747 WS
CARL RANSBURY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-748 WS
LISA CHAMBERS v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-749 WS
FRANCES CLAY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-750 WS
GERLDEAN DAVIS v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-751 WS
MARY A. FUNCHES v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-752 WS
LESIA ANN JOHNSON v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-753 WS
RONNEY E. MAGEE v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-754 WS
JERLEAN McCOY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-755 WS
HELEN WASHINGTON v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-756 WS
BERNITA TAYLOR v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-757 WS
CARIE FRAZIER v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-758 WS
BARRY HERON v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-759 WS
ROY LEE HICKS v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-760 WS

**FINAL JUDGMENT**

Before this court is the motion of the defendant, Frito-Lay, for summary judgment. After consideration of the motion, evidence offered in support of the motion, and the memoranda of the parties, the court finds that the motion of the defendant is well taken and should be granted. The Memorandum Opinion and Order entered by this court on September 25, 2006, is incorporated by reference. For the reasons assigned in the court's Memorandum Opinion and Order, the court concludes that judgment should be entered in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiffs and that the defendant should be awarded its costs.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the complaint be, and it is hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE in accordance with this court's Memorandum Opinion and Order at the cost of the plaintiffs.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the defendant is entitled to recover its taxable costs in this action upon filing a Bill of Costs in the time and manner prescribed.

**SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this the 25<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2006.**

**s/ HENRY T. WINGATE**

---

**CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

ERVIN BRADLEY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-742 WS
ROBERTA W. THOMAS v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-743 WS
JANE A. BULLY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-744 WS
TERRY BLACKMON v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-745 WS
LAIRD MOSES v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-746 WS
ROOSEVELT RANSBURY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-747 WS
CARL RANSBURY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-748 WS
LISA CHAMBERS v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-749 WS
FRANCES CLAY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-750 WS
GERLDEAN DAVIS v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-751 WS
MARY A. FUNCHES v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-752 WS
LESIA ANN JOHNSON v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-753 WS
RONNEY E. MAGEE v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-754 WS
JERLEAN McCOY v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-755 WS
HELEN WASHINGTON v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-756 WS
BERNITA TAYLOR v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-757 WS
CARIE FRAZIER v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-758 WS
BARRY HERON v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-759 WS
ROY LEE HICKS v. FRITO LAY	Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-760 WS

**Final Judgment**